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#### Abstract

It is shown how simple integration rules can be associated with the Fast Fourier Transform technique in order to obtain accurate results without reducing essentially the speed of computation. The results of several numerical experiments are presented.


## 1. Introduction

Due to the widespread use of the Fourier Transform, it is very important to have methods for numerical calculation, that are both fast and accurate. In practice we do not compute the infinite Transform of a time-function $f(t)$ but the Finite Transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) e^{-i \omega t} d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $f(t)$ being known only at a finite number of equidistant points

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}=j \Delta t=j T / N, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\phi(\omega)$ is wanted at a large number of cqually spaced points, the computingtime of (1) is tremendously reduced by using the Fast Fourier Transform technique (F.F.T.) proposed by Cooley and Tukey [1]. The integral (1) is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(\omega)=(T / N) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f\left(t_{j}\right) e^{-i \omega t_{j}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and calculated for the following values of $\omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}=k \Delta \omega=k(2 \pi / T), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ being a power of 2 . When the data are noisy, e.g., when working with rounded numbers, the F.F.T. gives a total error smaller than when summing directly, the improvement ratio being proportional to $N / \log N$ [2].

The numerical integration rule represented by (3) is a very crude one, the truncation error $E$ being proportional to $\Delta t=T / N$. As it has been shown by Cooley, Lewis and Welch [3], if the function $f(t)$ has additional properties the order of the method is higher; e.g., if $f(0)=f(T)(3)$ becomes the usual trapezoidal rule so that $E=O\left((\Delta t)^{2}\right)$ or if the odd-order derivatives $f^{\prime}(t), f^{\prime \prime \prime}(t), \ldots, f^{(2 k-1)}(t)$ are equal at the end-points 0 and $T$, then (3) is equivalent to the trapezoidal rule with end corrections and $E=O\left((\Delta t)^{2 k+1}\right)$.

If the function $f(t)$ has none of these properties and one needs a better accuracy, one uses a higher order numerical quadrature formula, the classical one for this type of integral being that proposed by Filon [4], with a truncation error $E=O\left((\Delta t)^{3}\right)$.

It seems therefore that, except in particular cases, either one can compute the Finite Transform very fast but then the accuracy is low, or else one obtains higher accuracy but then the speed is drastically reduced. Mandel and Bearman [5] proposed methods to reconciliate specd and accuracy by associating the F.F.T. with a modification of an integration formula based on the trapezoidal rule and also with Filon's method. They also compared the accuracy of the results obtained by using these techniques.

In the present paper we show with illustrative examples how known numerical techniques associated with the F.F.T. give very accurate results without reducing essentially the computational speed.

## 2. The F.f.T. and Integration Rules with Equidistant Nodes

As has been mentioned previously, if $f(0)=f(T)$, (3) is equivalent to the trapezoidal rule, as for the values (4) of $\omega$, the integrand of (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=f(t) e^{-i \omega t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has equal values at the end-points of the integration interval $t_{0}=0$ and $t_{N}=T$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(\omega)=\Delta t \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} F\left(t_{j}\right)=\Delta t\left\{1 / 2\left[F\left(t_{0}\right)+F\left(t_{N}\right)\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} F\left(t_{j}\right)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the imaginary part of the integrand has equal values at the end-points even if $f(t)$ does not, and this explains why the F.F.T. gives more accurate results for the imaginary part of the Transform $\Phi_{I}=-\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \sin \omega t d t$, than for the real part $\Phi_{R}=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \cos \omega d t$.

Suppose that we know the value of $f(t)$ for $t=t_{N}$. Then, the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=f(t)-\frac{f(T)-f(0)}{T} t-f(0) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained from $f(t)$ by removal of a linear trend has equal values at the end-points so that the real part of the transform $\Psi(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} g(t) e^{-i \omega t} d t$ will be calculated by the F.F.T. according to the trapezoidal rule. Lanczos [6] proposed the removal of the linear trend in order to improve the convergence of the Fourier series of $f(t)$. As it turns out, this operation has an improving effect also on the accuracy of the Fourier coefficients. The imaginary part will be calculated even with a better accuracy, as the corresponding integrand $G(t)=g(t) \sin \omega t$ satisfies also the condition $G^{\prime}(0)=G^{\prime}(T)$. The Fourier Transform $\Phi(\omega)$ will be then obtained from

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\omega)= & \Psi(\omega)-\frac{1}{T \omega}\left\{f(T)\left[\frac{1}{\omega}-e^{-i \omega T}\left(\frac{1}{\omega}-i T\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-f(0)\left[\frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{e^{i \omega T}}{\omega}+i T\right]\right\} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By using this procedure (let us call it "trapezoidal F.F.T.") we improve accuracy without reducing essentially the speed of calculation, the number of additional arithmetic operations needed being proportional to the number of integration points $N$. It may happen in practice that the trapezoidal F.F.T. even reduces the number of operations as it gives a much better accuracy with a smaller number of integration points.

In order to associate the F.F.T. technique with higher-order integration formulas we introduce the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(t_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j} g\left(t_{j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ are proportional to the weights of some integration rule with equidistant nodes for calculating $\Psi(\omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} g(t) e^{-i \omega t} d t \simeq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{j} g\left(t_{j}\right) e^{-i \omega t_{j}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} h\left(t_{j}\right) e^{-i \omega t_{j}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last sum is of the form (3) and the F.F.T. can be applied.
We experimented with two composite integration rules based on higher-order closed Newton-Cotes formulas: the Simpson rule and the 5-points rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}} f(x) d x \simeq \frac{2 h}{45}\left(7 f_{0}+32 f_{1}+12 f_{2}+32 f_{3}+7 f_{4}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the truncation error being $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ and $O\left(h^{6}\right)$, respectively. The results shown illustrate the known fact that the Newton-Cotes formulas are less accurate when large numbers of points are used and should be avoided.
TABLE I
Values of $\phi_{I}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \sin \omega t d t$ for $f(t)=t \cos \omega_{0} t, \omega_{0}=1, T=2 \pi$

| $\omega$ | Exact value | No. of integr. points | F.F.T. | Filon | Trapezoidal F.F.T. | Simpson F.F.T. | 5-points F.F.T. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-1.57079632679$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 64 | $-1.56 \mid 574647944$ | - 1.570716154092 | - 1.570791388481 | - 1.570180610140 | --. 1.570796113415 |
|  |  | 256 | $-1.570 \mid 48090016$ | 1.570796\|19068 | - $1.5707963 \mid 1548$ | - 1.5707963:6240 | - 1.57079632\|549 |
|  |  | 1024 | $-1.5707 \mid 7661299$ | - 1.570796326710 | $-1.570796326 \mid 27$ | - 1.570796326\|30 | -1.570796326\|11 |
|  |  | 2048 | - 1.57079\|139819 | - $1.57079632 \mid 804$ | --- 1.570796326\|52 | ---1.570796326\|45 | - 1.570796326:42 |
| $30-2.09672479661 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 64 | --3.04512513772 $\times 10$ | $1.78252346600 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.091513536990 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-5.58577760443 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-6.51689060446 \times 10^{-1}$ |
|  |  | 256 | $-2.000123197561 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.0 \mid 4609246414 \times 10^{-1}$ | -2.09672 \|182030 $\times 10^{-1}$ | 2.0967/3897498 $\times 10^{-1}$ | $2.09672465355 \times 10^{-1}$ |
|  |  | 1024 | $-2.09080746494 \times 10^{1}$ | $-2.096151207669 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.096724788545 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.096724184175 \times 10^{-1}$ | $\cdots 2.096724791462 \times 10^{-1}$ |
|  |  | 2048 | $-2.09 \mid 524609265 \times 10^{-1}$ | - $2.096711145328 \times 10^{1}$ | $\cdots 2.09672479 \mid 591 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.09672479 \mid 940 \times 10^{1}$ | - $2.096724796!58 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $100 \quad 6.2838136885 \times 10^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | $-2.75937570533 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\underline{-2.09264373658 \times 10^{-4}}$ | $6.283165404236 \times 10^{-2}$ | --6.219319781354 $\times 10^{2}$ | $6.283 \mid 23530407 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  |  | 1024 | -6.08543252165 $\times 10^{=}$ | -6.210879894257 $\times 10^{-2}$ | $6.283813130614 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-6.28381541443 \times 10^{-3}$ | 6.28381\|257799 $\times 10^{-2}$ |
|  |  | 2048 | $-6.2 \mid 3445302997 \times 10^{2}$ | $6.217893183552 \times 10^{-*}$ | $-6.283813666518 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-6.283813 \mid 78486 \times 10^{2}$ | - $6.2838136 \mid 7622 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 500-1.2566420880 $\times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1024 | - $7.10004847431 \times 10^{1}$ | $1.19364113290 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-1.25663773062 \times 10^{2}$ | - 1.37868871242 $\times 10^{-4}$ | 1. $1411235880127 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  |  | 2048 | $-9.99986896475 \times 10^{-8}$ | $\cdots 8.16351380408 \times 10^{-3}$ | -1.256641994740 $\times 10^{-2}$ | $\cdots{ }^{-1.25664: 335300 ~} \times 10^{-2}$ | $-1.2418506995702 \times 10^{-2}$ |

TABLE II
Values of $\phi_{t}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \sin \omega t$ for $f(t)=t \cos \omega_{0} t, \omega_{0}=50, T=2 \pi$

TABLE III
Values of $\phi_{R}=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \cos \omega t$ for $f(t)=t \cos \omega_{0} t \omega_{0}-50 T=2 \pi$

| ${ }^{\omega}$ | Exact value | No. of integr. point | F.F.T. | Filon | Trapezoidal F.F.T. | Simpson F.F.T. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s-point } \\ & \text { F.F.T. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | $-7.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-14}$ | $-1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ | $-1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ | $-1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ |
|  |  | 1024 | $-1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-12}$ | $-3.4 \times 10^{-10}$ | $-4.4 \times 10^{-10}$ | $-4.7 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  |  | 2048 | $-9.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ | $-2.1 \times 10^{-10}$ | $-2.6 \times 10^{-14}$ | $-2.7 \times 10^{-111}$ |
| 50 | 9.86960440109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | 9.79249811782 | 9.55018882140 | 9.86960440:072 | $9.86960440 \mid 588$ | 9.86960440\|055 |
|  |  | 1024 | 9.8\|5032783007 | 9.861816118692 | $9.86960440 \mid 088$ | 9.86960440\|081 | 9.86960440\|079 |
|  |  | 2048 | 9.815996611559 | $9.869 \mid 51354085$ | 9.86960440099 | 9.86960440;095 | 9.86960440;094 |

## 3. Numerical Results

Values of the imaginary part of the Transform $\Phi_{I}(\omega)$ as calculated by using the methods described previously and compared with those given by Filon's method, the usual F.F.T. and the exact ones for the function $f(t)=t \cos \omega_{0} t$, are shown in Tables I and II. This function was considered for illustrating numerical integration procedures by Davis and Rabinowitz [7]. In Table I, $\omega_{0}=1$, while in Table II, $\omega_{0}=50$. In Table III are shown values of the real part of the Transform $\Phi_{R}(\omega)$ for the same function, for $\omega_{0}=50$. The vertical lines within the numbers appearing in the tables mark the end of the string of digits that coincide with those in the exact solution.

Although the numbers shown in the tables speak for themselves, we want to stress the following general points.

For moderate values of $\omega$, the trapezoidal F.F.T. is almost as accurate as Filon, while higher order composite formulas associated with the F.F.T. are more accurate, as it should be. For large values of $\omega$ or for a very oscillatory integrand, the Filon's method (even for $\theta=\omega \Delta t<1$ ) as well as the higher-order methods are so inaccurate that even the order of magnitude of the result is wrong so that the only method to be used seems to be the trapezoidal F.F.T. The results for large $\omega$ calculated by using Filon's method are given only for the sake of comparison, as this method is most accurate and useful when $f(t)$ is a reasonably smooth function.

## 4. The Romberg Integration

Computing the Fourier Transform $\Psi(\omega)$ of $g(t)$ instead of $f(t)$ has an additional advantage: One can improve the accuracy by using the Romberg procedure, i.e., by building the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N_{j}}^{(k+1)}=\left(4^{k_{0}+k} I_{N_{j}}^{(k)}-I_{N_{j-1}}^{(k)}\right) /\left(4^{k_{0}+k}-1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for several values of $k$, where $I_{N_{j}}^{(0)}$ is the result given either by the simple trapezoidal rule or by the trapezoidal rule with end-corrections with $N_{j}$ integration points and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j+1}=2 N_{j} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For illustration, we give in Table IV some values of the imaginary part of the Transform for $f(t)=t \cos \omega_{0} t$ with $\omega_{0}=50$. Here we have to take $k_{0}=1$. In Table $V$ are given the values of the real and imaginary parts of the Transform for the function $f(t)=e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t$ for $\alpha=0.1, \beta=0.5$. For the real part of the Transform, $k_{0}=0$, and for the imaginary part, $k_{0}=1$.
table iV

| $\omega$ | Exact value | No. of integr. points | $k=0$ | $k=1$ | $k-2$ | $k=3$ | $k=4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12.51427983481 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | $2.5 \mid 3952938240 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 512 | $2.511578671728 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.5142 ; 0387294 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1024 | $2.514137295245 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.51427 / 870146 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.5142798 .8921 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |
| $30 \quad 1.17809724510 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | $1.10597510251 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 512 | $1.178155429237 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.178015564474 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1024 | $1.178 \mathrm{i}^{12525735} \times 10^{1}$ | $1.178091665502 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.178097130598 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2048 | $1.17809 \mid 898743 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.1780972 ; 3610 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.178097245 \mid 33 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.1780972450 \mid 9 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| $100-8.37758040957 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 256 | $-7.93149479442 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 512 | - $8.316051859726 \times 10^{2}$ | . $8.318912018411 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1024 | .. $8.37 / 662067240 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.377169414408 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.37751277836 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 2048 | $-8.377512193513 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-8.37758 / 201931 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.377580,23955 \times 10^{2}$ | $-8.377580 \mid 50410 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |
|  |  | 4096 | $-8.3775 ; 7677796 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-8.3775804 / 3415 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.37758040899 \times 10^{2}$ | . $8.377580409165 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-8.377580409516 \times 10^{-2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ As calculated by the Romberg procedure. $k_{0}-1$.

| Values of $\phi_{R}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \cos \omega t d t$ and $\phi_{I}(\omega)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) \sin \omega t d t$ for $f(t)=e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function $k_{0}$ | $\omega$ | Exact value | No. of integr. points | $k=0$ | $k=1$ | $k=2$ |
| $\phi_{R}(\omega) \quad 0$ | $2 \pi / 10$ | $-1.09725568604$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 256 | $-1.097130815623$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $512$ | $-1.0972 \mid 6881085$ | $-1.0972556960 \mid 8$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $-1.09725 \mid 897477$ | $-1.0972556960 \mid 8$ | $-1.0972556960 \mid 7$ |
|  | $12 \pi$ | $-2.90311478993 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 256 | $-3.48987354373 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 512 | $-3.03789292224 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-2.90220160261 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1024 | $-2.9 \mid 3612443252 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-2.903!05886465 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-2.90311601545 \times 10^{-4}$ |
|  |  |  | 2048 | $-2.9 \mid 1132525662 \times 10^{-4}$ |  | $-2.90311480897 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\phi_{I}(\omega) \quad 1$ | $2 \pi / 10$ | 2.66749577010 |  |  |  | --- -- - - - - |
|  |  |  | 256 | 2.66749576884 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 512 | 2.667495716994 | $2.667495770,06$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1024 | $2.667495770 \mid 05$ | 2.667495770108 | 2.667495770008 |
|  | $12 \pi$ | $9.36072839917 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 256 | $9.360 \mid 65233224 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 512 | $9.36072,423884 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.36072840736 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1024 | $9.360728 \mid 14683 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.360728391894 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.36072839 ; 881 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|  |  |  | 2056 | $9.3607283 / 8319 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.360728399103 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.360728339910 \times 10^{-3}$ |

$a_{\alpha}=0.1, \beta=0.5$, as calculated by the Romberg procedure.
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